arXiv:1511.00020v4 [math.NT] 21 Jul 2016

A QUADRATIC HYPERGEOMETRIC ${}_2F_1$ TRANSFORMATION OVER FINITE FIELDS

Ron Evans
Department of Mathematics
University of California at San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112
revans@ucsd.edu

and

John Greene
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Minnesota–Duluth
Duluth, MN 55812
jgreene@d.umn.edu

May 18, 2016

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T24, 33C05.
Key words and phrases. Hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ functions over finite fields, Gauss sums, Jacobi sums, pseudo hypergeometric functions, quadratic transformations, Hasse–Davenport relation.

Abstract

In 1984, the second author conjectured a quadratic transformation formula which relates two hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ functions over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We prove this conjecture in Theorem 2. The proof depends on a new linear transformation formula for pseudo hypergeometric functions over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Theorem 2 is then applied to give an elegant new transformation formula (Theorem 3) for ${}_2F_1$ functions over finite fields.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a field of q elements, where q is a power of an odd prime p. Throughout this paper, $A, B, C, D, \chi, \varepsilon, \phi$ denote complex multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, extended to map 0 to 0. Here ε and ϕ always denote the trivial and quadratic characters, respectively. For $y \in \mathbb{F}_q$, let ζ^y denote the additive character

$$\zeta^y := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\Big(y^p + y^{p^2} + \cdots + y^q\Big)\right).$$

Recall the definitions of the Gauss sum

$$G(A) = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} A(y)\zeta^y$$

and the Jacobi sum

$$J(A,B) = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} A(y)B(1-y).$$

These sums have the familiar properties

$$G(\varepsilon) = -1, \quad J(\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = q-2,$$

and for nontrivial A,

$$G(A)G(\overline{A}) = A(-1)q, \quad J(A,\overline{A}) = -A(-1), \quad J(\varepsilon,A) = -1.$$

Gauss and Jacobi sums are related by [4, p. 59]

$$J(A,B) = \frac{G(A)G(B)}{G(AB)}, \quad \text{if } AB \neq \varepsilon.$$

The Hasse–Davenport product relation [4, p. 351] yields

$$A(4)G(A)G(A\phi) = G(A^2)G(\phi). \tag{1.1}$$

As in [12, p. 82], define the hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ function over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}A, B\\ C\end{matrix}\middle| x\right) = \frac{\varepsilon(x)}{q}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_q} B(y)\overline{B}C(y-1)\overline{A}(1-xy), \quad x\in\mathbb{F}_q. \tag{1.2}$$

Define the binomial coefficient over $\mathbb{F}_q$ as in [12, p. 80] by

$$\binom{A}{B} = \frac{B(-1)}{q}J(A,\overline{B}).$$

In [7, (1.11)]and [6, (1.10)], we defined a pseudo hypergeometric function $F^*(C, D; x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ by

$$F^*(C,D;x) := \frac{q}{q-1}\sum_{\chi}\binom{C\chi^2}{\chi}\binom{C\chi}{D\chi}\chi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) + CD(-1)\frac{\overline{C}(x/4)}{q}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the sum is over all characters χ on $\mathbb{F}_q$. The function $F^*(C, D; x)$ could be defined more simply by using Gauss sums instead of Jacobi sums; see [7, Lemma 2.1]. (This is in line with the observation in [15] about an elegant way to define general hypergeometric functions over finite fields.) However, we stick here with the formulation in (1.3) because it allows us to more easily apply theorems in [12].

We will need the following alternative formula for $F^*(C, D; x)$. In [7, p. 224], it is proved that if $C \neq D$ and $x \notin \{0, 1\}$, then

$$F^*(C,D;x) = \frac{C(2)}{q}\sum_{t} C\overline{D}^2(1-t)\overline{C}D(1-x-t^2). \tag{1.4}$$

In fact, (1.4) holds even when $C = D$, but this fact will not be used here, so we omit the proof.

Note: On the fifth line from the bottom in [7, p. 224], the misprint $\overline{A}C^2$ should be corrected to $\overline{A}C$. Four lines before Theorem 1.1 in [7], replace the clause "because ..." with "because every element in $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$", and in the same sentence, replace "(3.2)–(3.3)" with "(2.16)–(2.17)".

We are now prepared to state our results.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that A, $A^2\overline{B}$, and $\phi A\overline{B}$ are all nontrivial. Let $y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $y \notin \{0,1\}$. Then*

$$F^*(B,\overline{A}B;y) = \frac{\phi AB(-1)\overline{A}^2B(2)G(A^2\overline{B})G(\phi\overline{A}B)}{G(\phi)G(A)}F^*(B,\phi A;1-y).$$

Lemma 1 gives a linear transformation formula for the pseudo hypergeometric function F^*. It will be employed to prove Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that A, $A^2\overline{B}$, and $\phi A\overline{B}$ are all nontrivial. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $x \neq -1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} A, B \\ A^2 \end{array}\middle| \frac{4x}{(1+x)^2}\right) = \\ &\frac{\overline{A}(4)\phi B(-1)G(A^2\overline{B})G(\phi\overline{A}B)}{G(\phi)G(A)}B^2(1+x)\ {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \phi\overline{A}B, B \\ \phi A \end{array}\middle| x^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Theorem 2 gives a finite field analogue of an important ${}_2F_1$ quadratic transformation of Gauss related to elliptic integrals [3, p. 50], [1, (3.1.11)]. A transformation equivalent to Theorem 2 is given in [9, Theorem 17].

In 1984, the second author [11, (4.40)] proved Theorem 2 in the special case that the character B is even, and he conjectured that Theorem 2 holds in general [11, p. 54]. After proving this conjecture, we will employ Theorem 2 to prove Theorem 3.

Note: On the second line of [11, p. 54], the misprint $2/(1+x)^2$ should be corrected to $2/(1+x^2)$. Also, the second equality in [11, (4.40)] should be ignored, as it is incorrect.

Theorem 3. *Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, so that there exists a quartic character χ_4 on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $z \notin \{0,1,-1\}$. Then for any character D on $\mathbb{F}_q$,*

$$D^4(z-1){}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} D, D\chi_4 \\ \chi_4 \end{array}\middle| z^4\right) = {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} D, D^2\phi \\ D\phi \end{array}\middle| -\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)^2\right). \tag{1.6}$$

Theorem 3, which motivated this paper, gives an elegant transformation formula for ${}_2F_1$ functions over finite fields. The first author [5] has applied Theorem 3 to evaluate a weighted sum of hypergeometric functions over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The evaluation turns out to be elementarily equivalent to an identity that Katz [13] had proved using rigidity properties of Kloosterman sheaves.

Stanton [17] has found the following analogue of (1.6) over the complex numbers, valid for any non-negative integer n.

$$(1.7)\qquad \begin{aligned}(z-1)^{4n+2}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n-1/4,-2n-1\\ -n+1/4\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,-\left(\frac{z+1}{z-1}\right)^2\right)\equiv\\ (-2z)\frac{\Gamma(2n+3)\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+3/4)}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-n-1/4,-n\\ 5/4\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,z^4\right).\end{aligned}$$

Both sides of (1.7) are polynomials in z of degree $4n+1$, and the symbol $\equiv$ signifies that the two polynomials are identical.

Remark: Transformation formulas for hypergeometric functions over $\mathbb{F}_q$ have numerous applications to number theory, algebraic geometry, and modular forms; for some recent examples, see [2], [8], [10], [14], [15], [16]. In [9], a number of such transformation formulas are proved and interpreted geometrically.

2 Proof of Lemma 1

In view of (1.4) and the hypothesis that A and $\phi A\overline{B}$ are nontrivial, it suffices to prove that $\alpha = \beta$, where

$$\alpha := G(A^2\overline{B})G(\phi\overline{A}B)\sum_t \overline{A}^2B(1-t)\phi A\overline{B}(y-t^2)$$

and

$$\beta = \phi BA(-1)A^2\overline{B}(2)G(\phi)G(A)\sum_t A^2\overline{B}(1-t)\overline{A}(1-y-t^2).$$

We have

$$\alpha = \sum_t\sum_w\sum_z \overline{A}^2B(1-t)A^2\overline{B}(w)\phi A\overline{B}(y-t^2)\phi\overline{A}B(z)\zeta^{w+z}.$$

Since $A^2\overline{B}$ and $\phi\overline{A}B$ are nontrivial,

$$\alpha = \sum_t\sum_{w\neq 0}\sum_{z\neq 0} A^2\overline{B}(w)\phi\overline{A}B(z)\zeta^{w(1-t)+z(y-t^2)}.$$

Replacing w by $2wz$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= A^2\overline{B}(2)\sum_w A^2\overline{B}(w)\sum_z \phi A(z)\zeta^{z(y-1+(w+1)^2)}\sum_t \zeta^{-z(t+w)^2}\\ &= A^2\overline{B}(2)\phi(-1)G(\phi)\sum_w A^2\overline{B}(w)\sum_z A(z)\zeta^{z(y-1+(w+1)^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since A is nontrivial, it follows that

$$\alpha = A^2\overline{B}(2)\phi(-1)G(\phi)G(A)\sum_w A^2\overline{B}(w)\overline{A}(y-1+(w+1)^2).$$

Replacing w by $w-1$, we see that $\alpha = \beta$, which completes the proof of Lemma 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Both sides of (1.5) vanish when $x = 0$. When $x = 1$, each ${}_2F_1$ in (1.5) has the argument 1, so that (1.5) can be directly verified using [12, Theorem 4.9], with the aid of the Hasse-Davenport relation (1.1). Thus for the remainder of the proof, assume that $x \notin \{0, -1, 1\}$.

Applying [12, Theorem 4.4(i)] to the left side of (1.5), we see that (1.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&{}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A, B & \dfrac{(1-x)^2}{(1+x)^2}\\ \overline{A}B & \end{array}\right) =\\ &\frac{\overline{A}(4)\phi AB(-1)G(A^2\overline{B})G(\phi\overline{A}B)}{G(\phi)G(A)}B^2(1+x)\ {}_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \phi\overline{A}B, B & x^2\\ \phi A & \end{array}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

To prove (3.1), first suppose that $x = \pm i$, where $i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a primitive fourth root of unity. In this case $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $\phi(-1) = 1$. Each ${}_2F_1$ in (3.1) has the argument -1, so that (3.1) can be verified using [12, (4.11)], with the aid of the Hasse-Davenport relation (1.1). Thus for the remainder of the proof, we assume that $x \notin \{0, -1, 1, i, -i\}$.

Apply [12, Theorem 4.16] to see that the ${}_2F_1$ on the left side of (3.1) equals

$$\overline{B}\left(\frac{2(x^2+1)}{(x+1)^2}\right)F^*\left(B, \overline{A}B; \left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2+1}\right)^2\right),$$

by (1.3). Similarly, the ${}_2F_1$ on the right side of (3.1) equals

$$\overline{B}(1+x^2)F^*\left(B,\phi A;\frac{4x^2}{(1+x^2)^2}\right).$$

Thus (3.1) is equivalent to

$$F^*\left(B,\overline{A}B;\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2+1}\right)^2\right)=$$
$$=\frac{\phi AB(-1)\overline{A}^2B(2)G(A^2\overline{B})G(\phi\overline{A}B)}{G(\phi)G(A)}F^*\left(B,\phi A;\frac{4x^2}{(1+x^2)^2}\right),$$

which immediately follows from Lemma 1 with

$$y=\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2+1}\right)^2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Proof of Theorem 3

Note that since $q\equiv 1 \pmod 4$, we have $\phi(-1)=1$, and there exists a primitive fourth root of unity $i\in\mathbb{F}_q$. The proof may be facilitated by the observation that $-4=(1+i)^4$, so that $\chi_4(-4)=1$.

If D is either trivial or quartic, then the ${}_2F_1$ functions in (1.6) are degenerate, so that (1.6) follows directly from [12, Corollary 3.16]. Thus assume that D is neither quartic nor trivial.

We will apply three transformations to convert the right side of (1.6) to the left side. First apply the transformation in [12, Theorem 4.20] with $A=D$ and $B=D\chi_4$ to express the ${}_2F_1$ on the right side of (1.6) in terms of

$$(4.1)\qquad {}_2F_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}D\overline{\chi_4},D\chi_4\\ D\phi\end{matrix}\right|-\frac{(z^2-1)^2}{4z^2}\right).$$

We will next utilize the transformation

$$(4.2)\qquad {}_2F_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}A,B\\ C\end{matrix}\right|x\right)=ABC(-1)\overline{B}(x){}_2F_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}B\overline{C},B\\ B\overline{A}\end{matrix}\right|\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

which follows by replacing y by y/x in (1.2). Apply the transformation (4.2) with $A = D\overline{\chi_4}$, $B = D\chi_4$, and $C = D\phi$ to express the ${}_2F_1$ in (4.1) in terms of

$$ {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\chi_4}, D\chi_4 \\ \phi \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{4z^2}{(z^2-1)^2} \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Finally apply the transformation in Theorem 2 of this paper with $A = \overline{\chi_4}$, $B = D\chi_4$, and $x = -z^2$ to express the ${}_2F_1$ in (4.3) in terms of the ${}_2F_1$ on the left side of (1.6). After some simplication, these three successive transformations yield the desired result (1.6).

References

[1] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, Special functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, The University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[2] R. Barman and G. Kalita, Certain values of Gaussian hypergeometric series and a family of algebraic curves, Int. J. Number Theory **8** (2012), 945–961.

[3] B. C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part II, Springer, 1999.

[4] B. C. Berndt, R. J. Evans, and K. S. Williams, Gauss and Jacobi sums, Wiley–Interscience, New York, 1998.

[5] R. J. Evans, Some mixed character sum identities of Katz, preprint. arXiv: 1607.05889

[6] R. J. Evans and J. Greene, Clausen's theorem and hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. **15** (2009), 97–109.

[7] R. J. Evans and J. Greene, Evaluations of hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Hiroshima Math. J. **39** (2009), 217–235.

[8] J. Fuselier, Traces of Hecke operators in level 1 and Gaussian hypergeometric functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1871–1881.

[9] J. Fuselier, L. Long, R. Ramakrishna, H. Swisher, F.-T. Tu, Hypergeometric Functions over Finite Fields, arXiv:1510.02575.

[10] J. Fuselier and D. McCarthy, Hypergeometric type identities in the p-adic setting and modular forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear (2015).

[11] J. Greene, Character sum analogues for hypergeometric and generalized hypergeometric functions over finite fields, PhD thesis, U. Minnesota, Minneapolis, 1984.

[12] J. Greene, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), 77–101.

[13] N. M. Katz, Rigid local systems and a question of Wootters, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **6**, No. 2 (2012), 223–278.

[14] C. Lennon, Gaussian hypergeometric evaluations of traces of Frobenius for elliptic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 1931–1938.

[15] D. McCarthy, Transformations of well-poised hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. **18** (2012), 1133–1147.

[16] D. McCarthy and M. Papanikolas, A finite field hypergeometric function associated to eigenvalues of a Siegel eigenform, Int. J. Number Theory, to appear (2015).

[17] D. W. Stanton, email communication (November 12, 2015).